	Case 2:20-cv-02118-TLN-JDP Documer	nt 17 Filed 02/02/22 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AUMINTRIUS DAMOUR GUNN,	Case No. 2:20-cv-02118-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	V.	THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, FAILURE TO
14	STANTON COUNTY—MEDICAL	COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
15	CUSTODY DIVISION, et al.,	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN
16	Defendants.	DAYS
17		
18	On April 23, 2021, I screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. ECF	
19	No. 10. I notified plaintiff that it failed state a claim and granted him sixty days to file an	
20	amended complaint. Id. Plaintiff subsequently requested, and I granted, an extension of time to	
21	file an amended complaint. ECF Nos. 9 & 10. Despite that extension, plaintiff failed to timely	
22	file an amended complaint. Accordingly, on October 7, 2021, I ordered him to show cause within	
23	twenty-one days why this action should not be dismissed for both failure to prosecute and failure	
24	to state a claim. ECF No. 15. I notified him that if he wished to continue with this lawsuit, he	
25	would need to file an amended complaint. I also warned him that failure to comply with the	
26	October 7 order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. <i>Id</i> .	
27		
28		
		1

## Case 2:20-cv-02118-TLN-JDP Document 17 Filed 02/02/22 Page 2 of 2

The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint nor otherwise

1

27

28

2 responded to the October 7, 2021, order. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of 3 Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case. 4 Further, RECOMMENDED that: 5 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, 6 and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the April 23, 2021, order. See ECF No. 10. 7 2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case. 8 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 9 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 10 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 11 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 12 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 13 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 14 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 15 appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez 16 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 February 2, 2022 Dated: 20 JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26